

## Community-Based Drug Recovery Program Social Services in Disruptive Times: Experience of Person Who Use Drugs in Palawan, Philippines

Ma. Teresa A. Acda<sup>1,2</sup>

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0532-4560>

maacda@up.edu.ph

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agribusiness Management and Entrepreneurship, University of the Philippines Los Baños

<sup>2</sup>Department of Social Development Services, University of the Philippines Los Baños, Laguna, Philippines

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.54476/apjaet/38760>

### Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic has presented significant challenges for individuals who use drugs (PWUDs) in accessing and completing community-based drug rehabilitation programs (CBDRPs) social services. This study investigates the experiences of PWUDs undergoing CBDRP in Palawan, Philippines, amidst the pandemic, focusing on changes in social service support mechanisms facilitating their recovery. Through stratified purposive sampling, 188 PWUDs participating in CBDRP across 11 municipalities in Palawan engaged in focus group discussions between July and December 2022. Participants shared insights on the effects of the pandemic, including social isolation, modifications in CBDRP service delivery, shifting focus of CBDRP officers, and changing family dynamics. Thematic analysis revealed four key themes: (1) PWUDs' experiences with CBDRP support mechanisms; (2) Challenges in completing CBDRP; (3) Leadership initiatives observed by PWUDs; and (4) Role of local groups and PWUDs' families in PWUDs' recovery during the pandemic. The study underscores the importance of equity, technology utilization, participatory approaches, and institutional capacity strengthening to mitigate the disproportionate impact of COVID-19 on vulnerable populations. Ensuring the availability and accessibility of social services and acknowledging the diverse needs of PWUDs during disruptive times can foster a resilient system capable of effectively managing disruptions like the COVID-19 pandemic.

*Keywords: community-based drug program, social services, person who use drugs, COVID 19, public policy*

### Introduction

The reach of the effect of the COVID-19 pandemic has been so great that from an individual level to the level of the biggest and strongest institutions, escape has not been possible. The disruption of the pandemic created consequences beyond public health issues. It has significantly disrupted key social services' content, structure, and delivery (Chirico et al., 2022; Giebel et al., 2021; Gittings et al., 2021). The immediate depth of the effect of the pandemic has put a strain not only on social service policymakers and development organizations but also on people instrumental to the delivery of social services. In

addition, the focus of policymakers and development organizations has been shifted to the enhancement of the health system and curbing the human-to-human transmission putting strain on economic development (Sarkodie & Owusu, 2021). It created a disproportion of attention in economic development and sustaining social services, particularly to vulnerable sectors such person who use drugs (PWUD) who like those needing critical health attention, likewise, need social services to continue in their recovery (Galarneau et al., 2021). This could be problematic since pro-poor social service delivery is argued to be a ‘key entry point’ to sustained social development in ‘difficult environments and situations (Berry et al, 2004). Studies on the effects of COVID-19 and the consequent lockdowns on individuals in recovery from substance use disorder (SUD) illustrate how economic stress, increased vulnerability to domestic abuse and violence, reduced health services could lead to negative health-seeking behavior and new psychopathologies (Shircliff et al., 2022; Ji et al., 2022; Bragard et al., 2022). These are relevant to the resurgence or persistence of substance abuse disorder that community-based drug rehabilitation programs (CBDRP) aim to address.

The disruption brought about by the COVID-19 pandemic resulted in difficulties in accessing CBDRP social services. Several studies have confirmed such scenarios from the perspective of the service providers and that of the recipients of the social services (Conway et al., 2022; Aponte-Melendez et al., 2021; Kesten et al, 2021; Schofield et al., 2022). To ensure uninterrupted delivery of services during a pandemic, social service providers employed a multitude of strategies to continue services (Gujral et al., 2023; & Lin, C. et al., 2023).

In the Philippines, the delivery of social services for persons who use drugs (PWUDs) accessing community-based drug rehabilitation programs (CBDRP) uses issuances of the Department of Health (DOH), the Department of Interior and Local Government (DILG) and Dangerous Drugs Board (DDB) in the implementation and delivery of its social services (DOH, 2017; DILG, 2018; DDB, 2020). The local government of Palawan commenced implementing its CBDRP in 2016 through Executive Order No. 134A (Executive Order No 134A, 2016). The pre-pandemic design of the program was challenged during the pandemic with studies showing social services providers during COVID 19 pandemic operates in a different system due to the unprecedented crisis thus responding differently to adapt and thrive (McCoyd et al., 2023; Schmid & Bradley, 2022; Bastaits et al, 2022; & Muñoz-Moreno et al., 2020).

Given the reality of COVID-19 changing the system where policy engagements are more on the short-term, the goal of the study is to document the experiences of PWUDs during the COVID-19 pandemic. Specifically, the study using focus group discussion (i) determines the experiences of PWUDs on CBDRP social services support mechanisms as against “as planned”; (ii) determines the experiences of PWUDs on the challenges in completing CBDRP during pandemic; (iii) determines the extent where in-charged officers provided leadership initiatives in the delivery of social services; and (iv) determines the participation and possible roles of local groups and PWUDs’ families in the recovery of PWUDs during disruptive time.

## **Objectives of the Study**

This study aimed to 1) determine the experiences of PWUDs on CBDRP social services support mechanisms as against as planned; 2) examine the challenges in completing CBDRP during the COVID-19 pandemic; 3) provide leadership initiatives during the COVID-19 pandemic.

## Methodology

The study used a qualitative method in gathering data following the phenomenological design and employed two different data-gathering techniques – (1) focus group discussion; and (2) literature review and data mining.

Using stratified purposive sampling technique, the population of CBDRP social service recipients in Palawan was determined based on location and after securing the list of CBDRP recipients per location from local government units, purposive sampling was applied where 188 PWUDs aged 18+ participated in the focus group discussions (FGD). Prior to the FGD, the study was explained, and consent was solicited. The interview guide for the FGD was developed with CBDRP social service providers at the provincial level and was pre-tested in one barangay. Based on the suggestions during pre-testing, guide questions were modified accordingly. FGD consisted of no more than 12 participants with at least two facilitators. The discussion lasted on average 45 minutes (ranging from 30-60).

Facilitators of the FGD wrote down the information provided by participants and analyzed using inductive thematic analysis (Baun & Clarke, 2006). Interview notes were read repeatedly and to identify the main content units, a feed-forward strategy was used. Data was reviewed by 3 independent researchers, and should there be disagreements, these were resolved through a consensus (Hickey & Kipping, 1996).

## Results and Discussion

### 1. Background Characteristics

#### 1.1. CBDRP Social Service Recipients: Person who uses drugs

There is a total of 188 PWUDs who participated in this study. The majority (70.59%) belong to the 20-44 age group while close to a quarter (29.41%) belong to the 45-64 age group. About a third (31%) of the respondents are in the food industry- agriculture or farming, fishing, and livestock. Of the total respondents, 19% indicated having multiple sources of income; however, 18% of the respondents did not indicate any sources of income. The responses on the sources of income are the food industry (31%), transportation (12%), wholesale and retail trade (11%), technical vocation (7%), and owning a dwelling for rent (7%). More than a third (36%) belong to an average-sized family with four to five household members, while 26% reside with a smaller household with one to three household members. About a quarter of the PWUDs (24%) belong to a large family with more than five members and 15% live alone or are single. The majority (91%) of the PWUD participants live in rural barangays, in a two-room house (41%), and living arrangements of either standalone house (44%), compound-style (38%), or shared house (15%). On access to the internet, 56% of PWUDs indicated having no access to the internet. On owning mobile phones, 68% indicated having 1 – 3 mobile phones, 26% have more than 3 mobile phones and 6% have no access to mobile phones.

### 2. Qualitative findings

Through thematic analysis, four overarching themes were identified in Table 1, it includes the following (1) experiences of PWUDs on CBDRP social services support mechanisms as against "as

planned” (two subthemes); (2) challenges and issues experienced in completing CDBRP during COVID 19 pandemic (two subthemes); (3) leadership initiatives observed by PWUD during pandemic, and (4) role of local groups and PWUDs’ family in the recovery of PWUDs during COVID 19 pandemic (two subthemes).

**Table 1**  
*Themes and subthemes from the interview*

| <b>Themes</b>   | <b>Subthemes</b>  |
|---|---|
| 1. Experiences of PWUDs on CDBRP social services support mechanisms as against “as planned” | Shift from tradition to technology-driven method in relaying CDBRP related information                      |
|   | Shift from face-to-face to hybrid mode of CDBRP social services   |
| 2. Challenges in completing CDBRP during pandemic   | Support needed in completing CDBRP during COVID 19 pandemic   |
| 3. Leadership initiatives observed by PWUD during pandemic                                  | Barangay officials seen as providing vital roles in the delivery of social services during disruptive times |
| 4. Role of local groups and PWUDs’ family in the recovery of PWUDs during COVID 19 pandemic | Increase trust in local groups as provider of psychosocial support  |
|   | Increase role of family members in the recovery process of PWUDs  |

## 2.1. Theme 1: Experiences of PWUDs on CDBRP social services support mechanisms as against “as planned”

In the 2019 annual reports of CDBRP Palawan, the program runs from 6 to 24 months with structured activities (psycho/social/spiritual support; motivational interviewing/counseling/life coaching; relapse prevention; family therapy, sports activities, medical and health-related activities, employment/livelihood support and community engagements) facilitated by members of anti-drug abuse council (ADAC) on a face-to-face basis at least 3 times a week (PGP, 2019). According to the CDBRP Operation Head of Palawan, during the pandemic, there were no specific issuances on the implementation of the CDBRP social services. Instead, ADACs adhere to the issuances of DOH and DILG relating to the management of COVID-19.

### 2.1.1. Shift from traditional to technology-driven mode of communication

According to the PWUDs, the mode of communication used in relaying CDBRP social services changed from traditional such as house-to-house visitation of ADACs, hand-delivered letters, and postal services, to the use of technology such as text messages, social media, and mobile calls. PWUDs added that although there is a shift in using technology as a mode of communication, the traditional mode is still used. Further, they indicated that the frequency of information relating to CDBRP has decreased significantly. Mostly, the text messages are COVID-19-related services such as financial assistance or food packs to be claimed in the barangay.

*“Barangay officials send us messages through social media and text messages. They hardly visit us except when distributing food packages.” (R#105)*

### 2.1.2. Shift from face-to-face to hybrid mode of CDBRP social services

The 2021 report of CDBRP Palawan indicated a shift in the mode of delivery from face-to-face to hybrid mode - limited face-to-face activities, online activities, phone calls, and modular (PGP, 2021).

Before the pandemic, PWUDs associated CDBRP with three types of activities – sports and wellness, community services, and different activities supported by the ADACs facilitated on-site and on a face-to-face basis. There is an overwhelming mention of positive experiences (enjoyed CDBRP, regained good health, becoming more sociable, and life becoming more comfortable) in comparison to negative experiences (negative effects on work and livelihood, reduced self-reliance, and limited mobility) on CDBRP as experienced before the pandemic.

During the pandemic, PWUDs reported three ways of accessing CDBRP activities – face-to-face, online, and modular, but still prefer face-to-face activities because ADACs have no online activities, no or poor internet connection, house near to venue of activity, have their transportation, and feels better when attending face-to-face activities. On the contrary, some PWUDs experience difficulty in physically attending the activities due to work or other schedule conflicts, difficulty accessing transportation, discrimination, and poor road, weather, and physical conditions.

Although PWUDs are aware of online activities, very few mentioned attending online activities due to having no devices like mobile phones/gadgets or computers; having no mobile load to connect to the internet, slow internet connection and they prefer face-to-face meetings. Some PWUDs are not aware of online activities. On the use of modules, PWUDs are ambivalent in their answer. They claim the modules sustained the CDBRP activities; however, they also claim they did not answer the modules seriously.

*“I prefer face-to-face so we can comfortably talk and discuss problems” (R #81)*

## 2.2. Theme 2: Challenges in completing CDBRP during COVID-19 pandemic

Before the pandemic, PWUDs identified physical infrastructure (facilities - health center and accommodation), financial support (financial assistance), social technology support (livelihood or employment programs, opportunity for community services/engagements), and enforcement (improved surveillance) as areas needing improvement. During a pandemic, PWUDs added access to CDBRP services (able to attend physical activities, no device/gadget for online activities, and poor internet connection/ no online communication/ not aware) and mental health (counseling and house visitation) as areas needing improvement (*Table 2*).

**Table 2**  
*Support to PWUDs to complete CDBRP*

| KIND OF SUPPORT<br>(AREAS OF IMPROVEMENT) | PRE-PANDEMIC                               |   | DURING PANDEMIC  |                               |
|---|--|---|--|-------------------------------|
|   | RESPONSES                                  | FREQUENCY OF MENTION<br>n=188                               | RESPONSES  | FREQUENCY OF MENTION<br>n=188 |
| <b>Physical infrastructure</b>            | Facilities (health center, accommodations) | 84  | Facilities (health center, accommodations)                               | 45                            |
|   | Lack of communication                      | 26  | Venue for activities/Computers   | 44                            |
| <b>Fiscal support</b>                     | Financial assistance                       | 26  | Increased/sustained "ayuda" or financial assistance, employment, capital | 159                           |
| <b>Social technology</b>                  | Livelihood or employment programs          | 91  | Face-to-face events for PWUDs  | 105                           |
|   | Opportunity for community services         | 102   | Maintain health protocols  | 102                           |
|   | Sports and leisure activities              | 25  | Form an organization for PWUDs   | 25                            |
| <b>Enforcement</b>                        | Law enforcement and improved surveillance  | 48  | Coastal clean-up   | 22                            |
|   |  |   | Sustain/Continue CDBRP activities  | 24                            |
| <b>Mental health support</b>              |  |   | Barangay visitation for counseling                                       | 110                           |
| <b>Access to activities</b>               |  |   | Counseling/ House visitation   | 134                           |
|   |  |   | Able to attend physically/prefer face-to-face activities                 | 122                           |
|   |  |   | No device/gadget for online activities                                   | 35                            |
|   |  | Poor internet connection/no online communication/ not aware | 71   |                               |



PWUDs expressed disappointment with CBDRP being stopped and delivered instead via online or modules. The desire to attend face-to-face CBDRP activities became more evident when they expressed support for social needs – having face-to-face events for PWUDs but maintaining health protocols, forming an organization for PWUDs, coastal clean-up, and sustaining/continuing CBDRP activities.

From the discussion, PWUDs expressed the need for mental health support activities particularly counseling due to worries about financial/employment needs, having limited social interaction, fear of their health and safety, and stresses from studies. It can also be noted that law enforcement is viewed by PWUDs during COVID-19 as a provider of mental health support.

*“Asking me how I am. Regularly counseling or even just monitoring us will be helpful (R #127)” and “There are not many people to talk to since gathering is prohibited so I hope for house visitations.” (R #29)*

### **2.3. Theme 3: Extent of in-charge officers providing leadership initiatives during COVID-19 pandemic**

The leadership and the delivery of CBDR social services are tasked to the anti-drug abuse council at the different levels of the local government units – provincial, municipal, and barangay as per DILG memorandum Circular 2018-125 (DDB-DILG, 2018). During COVID-19, PWUDs identified government, civil society, and business sectors as those providing leadership initiatives with barangay officials mentioned as the most followed by uniformed personnel, community members/community care team, and rural health units.

*The chairman of the Barangay. He provided help; did what was required of him, and many times did beyond his duty. He is a servant leader with a good heart.” R#19).*

### **2.4. Theme 4: Participation and role of local groups and PWUDS families in the delivery of CBDRP**

In addition to the barangay identified by PWUDs providing leadership and social services during the pandemic, PWUDs identified local groups and their family as being vital in their recovery. PWUDs indicated lecturer, house visitation, counseling partner, participant, or donor/benefactor as some roles. PWUDs also mentioned support such as increased government financial assistance, communication and coordination, and recognition or honoraria; and a few answered livelihood or family support and providing infrastructure such as offices for the use of local groups.

This study explored the experiences of PWUDs undergoing CBDRP in Palawan during a disruptive time, i.e., during the COVID-19 pandemic. Overall, findings confirm (i) that during disruptive times, the content, structure, and delivery of social services designed in a pre-disruptive system face challenges and will require a spectrum of modifications to be accessible to target recipients (Schmid, 2022; Evans et al., 2023; Schofield et al., 2022; Russell et al., 2021); (ii) that during disruptive times, PWUDs require support mechanisms on mental health to augment planned CBDRP social services (Kelly et al. 2022; & Stack et. al., 2021); (iii) that PWUDs see the barangay officials/volunteers as their 1<sup>st</sup> line of the service provider on mental health (Kyoon-Achan & Write, 2020; and (iv) that local groups and families of PWUDs contribute to the implementation of CBDRP during the disruptive time (MacInnes et. al., 2022; Jewett et. al, 2021; Pitas, 2020).

During a pandemic, PWUDs indicated sustained CDBRP support delivered through modules, online, and limited face-to-face and yet highlighted more negative experiences - affecting work and livelihood, boredom, fear and uncertainties, reduced reliance, and receiving no services from CDBRP implementers, similarly observed in the study on PWUDs in the United States (Vahratian et al., 2020). Psychological distress affecting functional, social, and emotional aspects of a PWUD cannot be denied; hence PWUDs needs for such services become more evident (Kelly, 2022). The experiences of PWUDs suggest that policy change on the content, structure, and delivery of CDBRP social services was not sufficient to compensate for the psychological distress and that CDBRP social services access and retention particularly on psychological support are critical during disruptive times.

The change in the perspective on enforcement as surveillance to house visitation by the barangay officials and/or local groups strengthens the value placed by PWUDs in the barangays and local groups especially volunteers in providing support in their recovery. Studies indicated that quality of life is directly associated with the availability of support groups (Birkeland et al., 2021), and that family and social networks result in improved quality of life, improving substance use outcomes, thus supporting recovery (Muller et al, 2017).

The study also reveals the significant roles of informal carers and PWUD families as alternatives in sustaining CDBRP activities during disruptive times. Informal carers such as community volunteers, local groups, and family members of PWUDs, who provide support in the recovery of PWUDs before the COVID-19 pandemic, are seen to have a significant role in the recovery of PWUDs even during disruptive times. Meeting psychological needs has been identified by PWUDs as one of the barriers to completing CDBRP during disruptive times. To overcome this barrier, PWUDs identified family support and good family relationships as mechanisms to overcome challenges and issues faced during a pandemic. A similar claim was mentioned by PWUDs in the discussion on family dynamics wherein being open with family helps to overcome challenges and issues during disruptive times. Various studies have interpreted family support to be a positive factor in the recovery of PWUDs – in addition to life skills, family support significantly contributes to the recovery of mild-risk PWUDs (Sy & Hechanova, 2020); frequency of relapse among PWUDs decreases with improved social support from family, friends, and social groups (Atadokht et al., 2015).

In relation to connecting with local groups, a significant number of PWUDs mentioned community services and engagements as support mechanisms needing improvement in their CDBRP activities prior to the pandemic (Table 2). Findings also revealed that during a pandemic, PWUDs desire to form themselves into an organization. Studies on the value of peer support were highlighted in various studies - Hagaman, et al. (2023) claimed that peer recovery support specialists play an important role in the recovery of PWUDs; Eddie et al. (2019) mentioned that peer recovery support services open the possibility for reduced substance use, improved relationship with service providers and social support; and Magidson et al. (2022) provided promising indication on the use of peer model for reducing substance use stigma. This provides another perspective on providing CDBRP social services to PWUDs through their peers.

## **Conclusion**

The study highlights the encumbrance of the COVID-19 pandemic on vulnerable populations such as PWUDs and the disruption it causes in the delivery of social services to recipients in recovery, revealing the importance of incorporating the equity principle, leveraging technology through digital transformation and engagement, tailored participatory approach in the provision of physical, social and psychological

support mechanisms and strengthened institutional capability at the lowest level of government to deliver a spectrum of mitigating mechanisms. Policies and measures should recognize not only the physical and social needs of the PWUDs but also the heightened psychological needs during disruptive times. The interruption in the delivery of social services to PWUDs in recovery during disruptive times draws attention to the inequitable and disproportionate impact on vulnerable populations, possibly reversing recovery progress; thus, the need for a high-quality and balanced policy of care and public health measures. Enabling the availability and accessibility of social services and recognizing the different needs of the PWUDs during disruptive times can lead to a more resilient system that can effectively withstand disruptions such as the COVID-19 pandemic.

## Recommendations

The experiences of PWUDs during COVID-19 pandemic provided a perspective of the disruptions in such situations to the recovery progress of the PWUDs; and highlighted the value of a support system as key to the recovery process of PWUDs from substance abuse. Through multi-stakeholder work achieving sustained CDBRP social services delivery during disruptive times, it is recommended to strengthen the national and local enabling environment by promoting: (1) improved delivery of social services using different modalities; (2) policy/legislation reform and enforcement on mental health through the barangay; (3) improved economic incentives reducing triggers; and (4) intra-and inter-sector coordination for the delivery of CDBRP social services. These efforts aim to create an environment of sustained CDBRP social services delivery during disruptive times.

## Acknowledgment

The author acknowledges the support of the local government units in Palawan and the Community Enhancement and Livelihood Program of the Provincial Government of Palawan.

## References

- Aponte-Melendez, Y., Mateu-Gelabert, P., Fong, C., Eckhardt, B., Kapadia, S., & Marks, K. (2021). The impact of COVID-19 on people who inject drugs in New York City: increased risk and decreased access to services. *Harm Reduction Journal*, 18(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12954-021-00568-3>
- Atadokht, A., Hajloo, N., Karimi, M., & Narimani, M. (2015). The role of family expressed emotion and perceived social support in predicting addiction relapse. *International journal of high risk behaviors & addiction*, 4(1), e21250. <https://doi.org/10.5812/ijhrba.21250>
- Bastaitis, K., Pasteels, I., Massart, M., & Put, B. (2022). The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the client base for social services. *Journal of Comparative Social Work*, 17(1), 7–36. <https://doi.org/10.31265/jcsw.v16.i2.389>



- Berry, C., Forder, A., Sultan, S., & Moreno-Torres, M. (2004). Approaches to improving the delivery of social services in difficult environments (No. 668-2016-45531).
- Birkeland, B., Weimand, B., Ruud, T., Maybery, D., & Vederhus, J. K. (2021). Perceived family cohesion, social support, and quality of life in patients undergoing treatment for substance use disorders compared with patients with mental and physical disorders. *Addiction Science and Clinical Practice*, 16(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13722-021-00252-8>
- Bragard, E., Giorgi, S., Juneau, P., & Curtis, B. L. (2022). Daily diary study of loneliness, alcohol, and drug use during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research*, 46(8), 1539–1551. <https://doi.org/10.1111/acer.14889>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative research in psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Conway, F. N., Samora, J., Brinkley, K., Jeong, H., Clinton, N., & Claborn, K. R. (2022). Impact of COVID-19 among people who use drugs: A qualitative study with harm reduction workers and people who use drugs. *Harm Reduction Journal*, 19(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12954-022-00653-1>
- Chirico, I., Ottoboni, G., Giebel, C., Pappadà, A., Valente, M., Degli Esposti, V., ... Chattat, R. (2022). COVID-19 and community-based care services: Experiences of people living with dementia and their informal carers in Italy. *Health and Social Care in the Community*, 30(5), e3128–e3137.
- Dangerous Drugs Board (2020). Board regulation no. 4 series of 2020. Guidelines for the oversight of general interventions (GI) and community-based drug rehabilitation programs (CBDRP) implemented and adopted by the local government units at various levels. September 24, 202, Quezon City, Philippines.
- Dangerous Drugs Board – Department of Interior and Local Government (DDB-DILG). (2018). Guidelines on the Functionality of Local Anti-Drug Abuse Councils. Series of 2018-01. May 21, 2018
- Department of Interior and Local Government (2018). Memorandum Circular No. 2018-125. Guidelines for the Implementation of community-based drug rehabilitation program. August 8, 2018.
- Department of Health. Office of the Secretary (2017). Guidelines for community-based treatment and support services for person who use drugs in primary health care settings. Administrative Order No. 2017-0018. August 29, 2017.
- Eddie, D., Hoffman, L., Vilsaint, C. , et. al., (2019). Systematic review. lived experience in new models of care for substance use disorder: A systematic review of peer recovery support services and recovery coaching. *frontiers in Psychology*. <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01052>

- Evans, K., Bender, A. E., Miller, E. K., Holmes, M., & King, J. (2023). Victim service agency perspectives on the impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Journal of Social Service Research*, 49(4), 476–493. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01488376.2023.2236164>
- Galarneau, L. R., Hilburt, J., O’Neill, Z. R., Buxton, J. A., Scheuermeyer, F. X., Dong, K., ... Kestler, A. (2021, July 1). Experiences of people with opioid use disorder during the COVID-19 pandemic: A qualitative study. *PLoS ONE. Public Library of Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0255396>
- Giebel, C., Cannon, J., Hanna, K., Butchard, S., Eley, R., Gaughan, A., ... Gabbay, M. (2021). Impact of COVID-19 related social support service closures on people with dementia and unpaid carers: a qualitative study. *Aging and Mental Health*, 25(7), 1281–1288. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2020.1822292>
- Gittings, L., Toska, E., Medley, S., Cluver, L., Logie, C. H., Ralayo, N., ... Mbithi-Dikgole, J. (2021). ‘Now my life is stuck!’: Experiences of adolescents and young people during COVID-19 lockdown in South Africa. *Global Public Health*, 16(6), 947–963. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17441692.2021.1899262>
- Gujral, K., Van Campen, J., Jacobs, J., Kimerling, R., Zulman, D. M., & Blonigen, D. (2023). Impact of VA's video telehealth tablets on substance use disorder care during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of substance use and addiction treatment*, 150, 209067. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.josat.2023.209067>
- Hagaman, A., Foster, K., Kidd, M., & Pack, R. (2023). An examination of peer recovery support specialist work roles and activities within the recovery ecosystems of Central Appalachia. *Addiction Research and Theory*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/16066359.2022.2163387>
- Hickey, G., & Kipping, C. (1996). A multi-stage approach to the coding of data from open-ended questions. *Nurse Researcher*, 4(1), 81–91. <https://doi.org/10.7748/nr.4.1.81.s9>
- Jewett, R. L., Mah, S. M., Howell, N., & Larsen, M. M. (2021). Social cohesion and community resilience during COVID-19 and pandemics: A Rapid scoping review to inform the united nations research roadmap for COVID-19 Recovery. *International Journal of Health Services*, 51(3), 325–336. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020731421997092>
- Ji, H., Shin, S. H., Rogers, A., Neese, J., & Lee, H. Y. (2022). Racial/Ethnic disparities in drug use during the COVID 19 pandemic: Moderating effects of non-profit substance use disorder service expenditures. *PLoS ONE*, 17(6 June). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0270238>
- Kelly, E. L., Reed, M. K., Schoenauer, K. M., Smith, K., Scalia-Jackson, K., Kay Hill, S., ... Weinstein, L. (2022). A Qualitative exploration of the functional, social, and emotional impacts of the COVID-

- 19 Pandemic on People Who Use Drugs. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(15). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19159751>
- Kyoon-Achan, G., & Write, L. (2020). Community-based pandemic preparedness: COVID-19 procedures of a Manitoba First Nation community. *Journal of Community Safety and Well-Being*, 5(2), 45–50. <https://doi.org/10.35502/jcswb.131>
- Kesten, J. M., Holland, A., Linton, M. J., Family, H., Scott, J., Horwood, J., ... Hines, L. A. (2021). Living under coronavirus and injecting drugs in Bristol (LUCID-B): A qualitative study of experiences of COVID-19 among people who inject drugs. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 98. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2021.103391>
- Lin, C., Pham, H., Zhu, Y., Clingan, S. E., Lin, L. A., Murphy, S. M., Campbell, C. I., Sorrell, T. R., Liu, Y., Mooney, L. J., & Hser, Y. I. (2023). Telemedicine along the cascade of care for substance use disorders during the COVID-19 pandemic in the United States. *Drug and alcohol dependence*, 242, 109711. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2022.109711>
- MacInnes, J., Frere-Smith, K., Jones, B., Eida, T., Abrahamson, V., Sharp, R., & Gage, H. (2022). The COV-VOL project: The impact of community, charitable and voluntary organisations in supporting older people during the Covid-19 pandemic. Lessons learnt and implications for integrated health and care systems. *International Journal of Integrated Care*, 22(S3), 76. <https://doi.org/10.5334/ijic.icic22033>
- Magidson, J. F., Rose, A. L., Regenauer, K. S., Brooke-Sumner, C., Anvari, M. S., Jack, H. E., ... Myers, B. (2022). “It’s all about asking from those who have walked the path”: Patient and stakeholder perspectives on how peers may shift substance use stigma in HIV care in South Africa. *Addiction Science and Clinical Practice*, 17(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13722-022-00330-5>
- McCoyd, J. L. M., Curran, L., Candelario, E., Findley, P. A., & Hennessey, K. (2023). Social service providers under COVID-19 duress: adaptation, burnout, and resilience. *Journal of Social Work*, 23(1), 85–102. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14680173221109414>
- Muller, A. E., Skurtveit, S., & Clausen, T. (2017). Building abstinent networks is an important resource in improving quality of life. *Drug and alcohol dependence*, 180, 431–438. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2017.09.006>
- Muñoz-Moreno, R., Chaves-Montero, A., Morilla-Luchena, A., & Vázquez-Aguado, O. (2020). COVID-19 and social services in Spain. *PLoS ONE*, 15(11 November). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241538>

- Provincial Government of Palawan. (2016). Executive Order No. 13A series of 2016. Establishment of community Enhancement and Livelihood Program and Creation of the CELP Committee. September 18, 2016, Puerto Princesa City, Philippines.
- Provincial Government of Palawan. (2019). Community Enhancement and Livelihood Program 2019 Annual Report submitted to Provincial Planning and Development Office. January 14, 2020. Puerto Princesa City, Philippines.
- Provincial Government of Palawan. (2021). Community Enhancement and Livelihood Program 2021 Annual Report submitted to Provincial Planning and Development Office. January 13, 2022. Puerto Princesa City, Philippines.
- Pitas, N., & Ehmer, C. (2020). Social Capital in the Response to COVID-19. *American Journal of Health Promotion*, 34(8), 942–944. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0890117120924531>
- Russell, C., Ali, F., Nafeh, F., Rehm, J., LeBlanc, S., & Elton-Marshall, T. (2021). Identifying the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on service access for people who use drugs (PWUD): A national qualitative study. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2021.108374>
- Sarkodie, S. A., & Owusu, P. A. (2021). Global assessment of environment, health and economic impact of the novel coronavirus (COVID-19). *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 23(4), 5005–5015. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-020-00801-2>
- Shircliff, K., Liu, M., Prestigiacomo, C., Fry, M., Ladd, K., Gilbert, M. K., ... Cyders, M. A. (2022). Mixed methods prospective findings of the initial effects of the U.S. COVID-19 pandemic on individuals in recovery from substance use disorder. *PLoS ONE*, 17(7 July). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0270582>
- Schmid, J., & Bradley, H. (2022). The Impact of COVID-19 on (Remaking?) Social Service Delivery: An 18 Month Review. *Journal of Evidence-Based Social Work (United States)*, 19(6), 650–669. <https://doi.org/10.1080/26408066.2022.2091969>
- Schofield, J., Dumbrell, J., Matheson, C., Parkes, T., & Bancroft, A. (2022). The impact of COVID-19 on access to harm reduction, substance use treatment and recovery services in Scotland: a qualitative study. *BMC Public Health*, 22(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-022-12873-y>
- Stack, E., Leichtling, G., Larsen, J. E., Gray, M., Pope, J., Leahy, J. M., Gelberg, L., Seaman, A., & Korthuis, P. T. (2021). The Impacts of COVID-19 on Mental Health, Substance Use, and Overdose Concerns of People Who Use Drugs in Rural Communities. *Journal of addiction medicine*, 15(5), 383–389. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ADM.0000000000000770>

Sy, T. R. L., & Hechanova, M. R. (2020). Family support as moderator of the relation between coping skills and substance use dependence among Filipinos who use drugs. *Asia-Pacific Social Science Review*, 20(1), 91–108.

Vahratian, A., Blumberg, S. J., Terlizzi, E. P., & Schiller, J. S. (2021). Symptoms of Anxiety or Depressive Disorder and Use of Mental Health Care Among Adults During the COVID-19 Pandemic - United States, August 2020-February 2021. *MMWR. Morbidity and mortality weekly report*, 70(13), 490–494. <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7013e2>

### Copyrights

*Copyright of this article is retained by the author/s, with first publication rights granted to APJAET. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4>).*